

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/007,788	11/06/2001	Michael J. Bonnette	2856.04US01	1848
24113	7590 09/30/2003			
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET			EXAMINER	
			ROBERTS, PAUL A	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3731	
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003	
				フ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	6		
	10/007,788	BONNETTE ET AL.	•		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Paul A Roberts	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MG , cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	<u> April 2003</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C	.D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.			
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers	ır				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception		the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigi	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	l .			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/007,788

Art Unit: 3731

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-25, and 32-33 are drawn to an inflation apparatus, classified in class
 606, subclass 191.
- II. Claims 26-29, drawn to a method of operating an inflation apparatus, classified in class 606, subclass 194.
- III. Claims 30-31, drawn to method of packaging a device, classified in class 81, subclass 8.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case alternate methods could be performed with the device of group I. For example, the guidewire could be sealed with a cauterizing (cutting and melting) shear.

Inventions I and II, and II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not useful together and have different functions.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/007,788

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant should elect one of the following from each letter (figures 7-8 are one election):

- A) Inflation/deflation device as shown in claims 1, 5, 6, 7-8, 10, 11, or 19.
- B) Crimper as shown in figure 1 or 9
- C) Sealer as shown in claims 12-14, and 15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Brad Peterson on September 23, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A Roberts whose telephone number is (703) 305-7558. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Paul Roberts
Paul.Roberts@uspto.gov
23/09/03

MICHAEL J. MILANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700